IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

ROBERT JUNIOR ROBINSON,

#171 121

Plaintiff,

v. * 2:08-CV-1008-MEF

(WO)

WARDEN LEVAN THOMAS, et al., *

Defendants.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

On January 6, 2009 the court granted Plaintiff fifteen days to file with the Clerk either the \$350.00 filing fee or a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* accompanied by an affidavit in support thereof. (*Doc. No. 2.*) Plaintiff was cautioned that his failure to comply with the January 6 order would result in a Recommendation that his complaint be dismissed. (*Id.*) The requisite time has passed and Plaintiff has not provided the court with either the filing fee or a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Consequently, the court concludes that dismissal of this case is appropriate for Plaintiff's failures to comply with the orders of the court and to prosecute this action.

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that this case be DISMISSED without prejudice for Plaintiff's failures to comply with the orders of this court and to prosecute this action.

It is further

Case 2:08-cv-01008-MEF-WC Document 3 Filed 01/27/09 Page 2 of 2

ORDERED that the parties are DIRECTED to file any objections to the

Recommendation on or before February 9, 2009. Any objections filed must specifically

identify the findings in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to which a party objects.

Frivolous, conclusive or general objections will not be considered by the District Court. The

parties are advised that this Recommendation is not a final order of the court and, therefore,

it is not appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations in the

Magistrate Judge's report shall bar the party from a de novo determination by the District

Court of issues covered in the report and shall bar the party from attacking on appeal factual

findings in the report accepted or adopted by the District Court except upon grounds of plain

error or manifest injustice. Nettles v. Wainwright, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. 1982). See Stein

v. Reynolds Securities, Inc., 667 F.2d 33 (11th Cir. 1982). See also Bonner v. City of

Prichard, 661 F.2d 1206 (11th Cir. 1981, en banc), adopting as binding precedent all of the

decisions of the former Fifth Circuit handed down prior to the close of business on

September 30, 1981.

Done, this 27th day of January 2009.

/s/ Wallace Capel, Jr.

WALLACE CAPEL, JR.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE